

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



MOLECULAR PHYLOGENETICS AND EVOLUTION

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 46 (2008) 645-658

www.elsevier.com/locate/ympev

# Multigenic phylogeographic divergence in the paleoendemic southern Appalachian opilionid *Fumontana deprehendor* Shear (Opiliones, Laniatores, Triaenonychidae)

Steven M. Thomas, Marshal Hedin \*

San Diego State University, Department of Biology, 5500 Campanile Drive, San Diego, CA 92182-4614, USA

Received 31 May 2007; revised 21 September 2007; accepted 5 October 2007 Available online 24 October 2007

#### Abstract

The paleoendemic opilionid *Fumontana deprehendor* is restricted to a small area of mid-elevation forested habitats in the southern Blue Ridge province of the Appalachian Mountains. In a recent study we reported on the discovery of 22 new montane populations of this monotypic genus, specimens from which exhibit remarkably little morphological divergence despite their separation by intervening lowlands and large riverine barriers. Here, we further explore spatial and temporal patterns of divergence in this taxon using DNA sequence data from a portion of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene ( $\sim$ 1000 bp) and full-length sequences of both nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer regions, including the intervening 5.8S rRNA region ( $\sim$ 700 bp total). Bayesian phylogenetic analyses of these independent data sets reveal congruent genealogical patterns, with all data partitioning and combination strategies consistently recovering five allopatric, geographically cohesive genetic clades. These clades show an almost complete lack of internal genetic divergence, with most individuals sharing a clade-specific, regionally widespread haplotype. The geographic distribution of these clades corresponds to patterns seen in other upland taxa of the region, possibly indicating coincident vicariance. Because of a lack of quantifiable morphological divergence and relatively modest levels of genetic divergence, we conservatively refer to the geographically cohesive genetic clades as "phylogeographic units", although these may actually represent cryptic species. Conservation implications and the prospect for future comparative arachnid phylogeography in the southern Appalachians are discussed in light of the results presented here.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cryptic species; Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI); Genealogical concordance; Internal transcribed spacer (ITS); Montane divergence

#### 1. Introduction

The uplands at the southern end of the Appalachian Mountains in eastern North America include some of the most biodiverse temperate habitats in the northern hemisphere (Stephenson et al., 1993; Stein et al., 2000). The region comprises several distinct physiographic provinces, including, from west to east, the Appalachian Plateau, Valley and Ridge, Blue Ridge, and Piedmont provinces (Fenneman, 1938). Together, this landscape includes dense forests, many high-elevation mountains (particularly in

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 619 594 5676.

E-mail address: mhedin@sciences.sdsu.edu (M. Hedin).

the Blue Ridge province), a multitude of spring and river systems, and the largest concentration of limestone caves in the United States. The southern Appalachians include a tremendous diversity of surface-dwelling arthropods, including, for example, species-rich radiations of xystodesmid millipeds (Shelley and Whitehead, 1986; Marek and Bond, 2006), phalangodid opilionids (Thomas, 2007), *Hyp*ochilus spiders (Catley, 1994), and Nesticus spiders (Hedin, 1997). The evolution and persistence of this biodiversity can be attributed to the region's ancient and complex topographic landscape, where upland habitats have persisted throughout the Cenozoic. And although the southern uplands were never glaciated (Hack, 1989), shifting climatic regimes during the Plio-Pleistocene have lead to a

<sup>1055-7903/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2007.10.013

fine-grained, heterogeneous assemblage of habitats (Delcourt and Delcourt, 1998), and have provided considerable opportunity for vicariance.

Given this rich biodiversity and heterogeneous landscape, the southern Appalachians provide a model setting for investigating biogeographic divergence in terrestrial habitats. A recent review by Soltis et al. (2006) on phylogeographic patterns in the eastern United States highlights two important patterns with respect to southern Appalachian phylogeography. First, these authors showed that phylogeographic studies in the region are dominated by vertebrate taxa—only four arthropod studies were reviewed (of over 390 studies total), and no arachnid studies were included. Second, these authors documented very few examples of in situ divergence in the southern Blue Ridge highlands. Most known examples involve habitatspecialized, non-vagile salamanders, which almost universally show evidence for both fine-scale phylogeographic divergence and localized species radiation in the region (Highton, 1995; Crespi et al., 2003; Kozak and Wiens, 2006; Weisrock and Larson, 2006). These non-vagile taxa clearly retain both deep and shallow biogeographic signal. We argue that many cryophilic arachnid taxa will show similar patterns of *in situ* phylogeographic divergence and species radiation (e.g., see Hedin and Wood, 2002; Hendrixson and Bond, 2005), and that studies of such taxa will prove exceedingly valuable in formulating a comprehensive, unbiased understanding of southern Appalachian biogeography and biodiversity.

Despite comprising more than 6300 described species, the arachnid order Opiliones (commonly known as "harvestmen" or "daddy long-legs") is one of several "neglected cousins" (Harvey, 2002) of the much betterstudied and well-known arachnid orders, such as Araneae (spiders) and Acari (mites and ticks). Opiliones is currently divided into four major taxonomic groups: the Cyphophthalmi, Laniatores, Dyspnoi, and Eupnoi, with the latter two groups comprising the historically recognized "Palpatores". Although the inter-relationships and monophyly of certain opilion subgroups is an area of debate and active research, Laniatores monophyly is universally accepted (reviewed in Giribet and Kury, 2007). Laniatoreans comprise the majority of described opilion diversity with more than 4000 described species, with most laniatorean species endemic to tropical regions. In North America, Laniatores is represented by nine families (Kury, 2003; Pinto-da-Rocha and Giribet, 2007), many of which display an affinity towards cool, dark, moist habitats (e.g., under rocks and logs) in upland or montane forests (e.g., Briggs, 1971; Shear, 1977; Ubick and Briggs, 1989; Thomas and Hedin, 2006).

Molecular phylogenetic data have been used to study higher-level relationships in Opiliones (Giribet et al., 1999, 2002; Shultz and Regier, 2001), and a recent study examined species-level relationships in the Balkan cyphophtalmid genus *Cyphophtalmus* (Boyer et al., 2005). However, we are unaware of published molecular studies that analyze phylogeographic or species-level relationships in any laniatorean species. High potential for fine-scale geographic divergence and speciation in laniatoreans is expected given their small size and typically strict habitat preferences. In North America, this pattern is exemplified by western genera of the family Phalangodidae (although other western families show similar patterns), which contain many morphologically unique species (diagnosed principally by genitalic features) restricted to very small areas (e.g., Ubick and Briggs, 1989). We anticipate that fine-scale divergence will be particularly apparent in genetic data, and expect phylogeographic analyses to become an important tool in characterizing relatively "shallow" phylogenetic patterns in laniatoreans.

Fumontana deprehendor (Shear, 1977) is a laniatorean endemic to the Blue Ridge province of the southern Appalachians (Shear, 1977; Thomas and Hedin, 2006). This monotypic genus is the sole representative of the family Triaenonychidae in eastern North America, as other nearctic taxa currently classified as "triaenonychids" (the family is likely polyphyletic, see below) are known only from west of the Rocky Mountains in the United States and Canada (Briggs, 1971). The "Triaenonychidae" currently includes 479 described species, with only 18 of these endemic to the Nearctic (Kury, 2003, 2006). The bulk of triaenonychid diversity is found in the southern hemisphere, including Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, and southern South America (Pinto-da-Rocha and Giribet, 2007). Despite the presence of con-familial taxa in North America, Fumontana exhibits several distinctive morphological attributes that suggest phylogenetic affinities to Gondwanan triaenonychids (Shear, 1977), including, for example, the South African genus Monomontia, and the South American genera Triaenonyx and Valdivionyx (Kury, 2004). This placement would be consistent with the recent classification of Giribet and Kury (2007), who classify Fumontana into the superfamily Triaeonychoidea with austral triaenonychids, to the exclusion of other north temperate triaenonychids classified as Travuniodea (i.e., these authors hypothesize that triaenonychids are polyphyletic).

In a previous study (Thomas and Hedin, 2006), we reported on the discovery of 22 new Fumontana populations in the southern Blue Ridge (previously known only from two sites; Shear, 1977, 1978), demonstrating that this taxon is not as rare as previously believed. Fumontana is most consistently found in well-decayed logs in hemlock-dominated forest, and all known populations are found between elevations of approximately 400-1200 m above sea level. Qualitative assessments of somatic and genitalic morphology, and multivariate morphometric analyses of somatic characters, revealed little divergence between disjunct populations (Thomas and Hedin, 2006). This result was surprising, given the obvious habitat and topographic complexity of the region, and evidence for divergence in other regional taxa (references above). Thus, we were motivated to explore the possibility of morphologically-cryptic phylogeographic divergence in this unique Appalachian taxon.

We examined molecular phylogenetic divergence in Fumontana via Bayesian analysis of DNA sequence data, collected from independently segregating loci representing both the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. We also present haplotype networks inferred using the Templeton, Crandall, and Sing parsimony algorithm (TCS; Templeton et al., 1992) to better visualize intra-clade divergence. Emphasis is placed on demonstrating phylogenetic concordance between independently segregating genes. Finding genealogical concordance increases the probability that inferred gene trees accurately represent the true population history (Maddison, 1997). Additionally, congruent multilocus data strengthens hypotheses regarding the geographic position of inferred genealogical breaks, as single-gene phylogeographic breaks can arise "haphazardly" in lowdispersal species, even when geographic barriers to dispersal do not exist (Kuo and Avise, 2005).

#### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Taxon sampling

Field methods and population sampling for this study are described in Thomas and Hedin (2006). Of 141 specimens originally collected, 58 were preserved in 100% ethanol and stored in a -80 °C freezer for DNA preservation (Vink et al., 2005). These DNA-preserved specimens are from 22 localities distributed across the uplands of the southern Blue Ridge province, including habitats in western North Carolina and adjacent Tennessee (Fig. 1). The two previously published (i.e., before Thomas and Hedin, 2006) localities were also sampled for this study, including the type locality in Greenbrier Cove, Sevier Co., Tennessee (Shear, 1977), and a second published locality in the Joyce Kilmer Memorial Forest of western North Carolina (Shear, 1978). These two locations are indicated on Fig. 1 by the acronyms GREENBR and JOYCE, respectively. An attempt was made to sample multiple individuals (2-3) per location; however, this was not always possible as Fumontana specimens were very rare at many sites. Locality and voucher information is provided in Table 1; voucher specimens representing all genetic clades (see Section 3) have been preserved in 80% ethanol and deposited at the California Academy of Sciences.

The systematic placement of *Fumontana* within the Triaenonychidae is uncertain (Kury, 2003; Pinto-da-Rocha and Giribet, 2007), making it difficult to conduct targeted sampling for close outgroups. We included several



Fig. 1. Map of the southern Appalachians showing the distribution of *Fumontana deprehendor* and the geographic position of genetic clades. Clade names are based on prominent geographic features. Approximate position of major rivers possibly acting as barriers to gene flow are shown.

# Author's personal copy

#### S.M. Thomas, M. Hedin / Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 46 (2008) 645-658

648

Table 1

Taxon identity, population acronym, lab voucher number, locality information (including latitude/longitude in decimal degrees and elevation in meters), and GenBank accession numbers for all samples included in this study

<i>F. deprehendor</i> COWEENTN 0P28. P. N.C. Macon Ca., S of Cowee Mans. N 35 3168/ 925. P. EU102706, EU102819, EU102819, EU102819, EU102819, EU102819, EU102819, Ward   CHATTOOA 0P27. 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27,	Taxon	Acronym	Lab No.	Locality information	Lat/Long	Elev.	COI	ITS
Lookout     W 83.3735 River     EU162769 W 83.1265     EU162770-72     EU162770-72       COLDMITN PWY281     COLDMITN PWY281     NC: MasoufJackson Co. line, Chaituoga River     N 85.1061     1100     EU162773-75     EU162820       HWY281     OP284, 223     NC: Transylvania Co., Hwy 215     N 35.2057     1217     EU162776-78     FU162820       HWY215     OP284     NC: Transylvania Co., Hwy 215     N 35.7057     605     EU16278-78     EU162820       HWY215     OP284     NC: Transylvania Co., Hwy 32 (g Stateline     N 35.7057     605     EU162780     EU162820       HWY215     OP264, 255     NC: Haywood Co., Flat Branch Rd.     N 35.7057     605     EU162780     EU162828       FLATBR     OP264, 261     TN: Bount Co., Rich Mm. Rd.     N 35.7057     615     EU162789     EU162276       COSBY     OP260, 264, 7     TN: Bount Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest     N 35.7057     685     EU162789     EU162828       JOYCE     OP267     NC: Cherokee Co., Shuler Creek     N 35.3067     685     EU162795     EU162826       JUNALUSK     OP267     NC: Cherokee Co.	F. deprehendor	COWEEMTN	OP268, 269	NC: Macon Co., S of Cowee Mtns.	N 35.3168/	955	EU162768,	EU162818
CHATTOOGA     0P271, 272, NC: Macon/Jackson Co. line, Chattooga     N 35,0160/     75     FU102770-72     FU102809       COLDMTN     0P275, 276, 278, 278, 278, 278, 278, 278, 278, 278				Lookout	W 83.3735		EU162769	
273     River     W 83.1265     U16273-75     EU16273-75       COLDMIT     OP253, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27		CHATTOOGA	OP271, 272, NC: Macon/Jackson Co. line, Chatto		N 35.0160/	755	EU162770-72	EU162819
COLDMTN     OP273, 276, W2 278     NC: Transylvania Co., Cold Mun. Rd.     N 35,1611/     100     EU162329       HWY281     OP281, 282, 283     NC: Transylvania Co., Hwy 281     N 35,1950/ W2 2904     EU162776-78     EU16282       HWY215     OP284     NC: Transylvania Co., Hwy 215     N 35,2575/ W2 2904     EU162770-78     EU16282       HWY215     OP284     NC: Transylvania Co., Hwy 22 @ Stateline     N 35,7164/ W3 3331     665     EU162780     EU162824       GREENBR     OP006     TN: Sevier Co., Greenbrier Cove     N 35,7164/ W3 3331     W2     EU162824     EU162824       FLATBR     OP284, 256, RICHMTN     OP284, 256, COS BY     OP261, 622     TN: Sevier Co., Greenbrier Cove     N 35,7633/ W3 201     615     EU162786     EU162826       JOYCE     OP066     NC: Graham Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest     N 35,3763/ W3 221     615     EU162786     EU162826       JUNALUSK     OP267     NC: cherokee Co., Shuller Creek     N 35,3763/ W3 221     615     EU16279     EU162826       JUNALUSK     OP267     NC: cherokee Co., Skound Mtn.     N 35,3165     545     EU162829     EU162829 <td></td> <td>273</td> <td>River</td> <td>W 83.1265</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			273	River	W 83.1265			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		COLDMTN	OP275, 276,	NC: Transylvania Co., Cold Mtn. Rd.	N 35.1611/	1100	EU162773-75	EU162820
HWY281     07281, 282, 282, 282, 283, 284, 283     N:5: Transylvania Co., Hwy 281     N: 35: 1950, 965     965     EU16276-78     EU162821       HWY215     07284     N:: Transylvania Co., Hwy 215     N: 35: 2750, 823, 284, 283, 283, 283, 283, 383, 183, 184, 184, 283, 383, 184, 184, 283, 383, 184, 184, 283, 383, 184, 184, 283, 383, 184, 184, 184, 184, 184, 184, 184, 184			278		W 82.9791			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		HWY281	OP281, 282,	NC: Transylvania Co., Hwy 281	N 35.1950/	965	EU162776-78	EU162821
HWY215     0P284     NC: Transylvania Co., Hwy 215     N 35.2375, W2.2904     FU167282       HWY32     0P006     TN: Cocke Co., Hwy 32 @ Stateline     N 35.705, M5     FU16282       GREENBR     0P007     TN: Sevier Co., Greenbrier Cove     N 35.716, M9     H90     EU16282       FLATBR     0P284, 256, CN: Haywood Co., Flat Branch Rd.     N 35.756, M8     EU162781     EU16282       SRICHMTN     0P260, 261, C22     TN: Eocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cooby     N 35.7576, M83.3831     FU16282     EU16282       JOYCE     0P621, 622     TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cooby     N 35.7367, M83.2377     FU16288     EU16282       JUNALUSK     0P263, 264, CN: Greahar Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest     N 35.3536, S65     EU162790     EU16282       JUNALUSK     0P267     NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.     N 35.115     EU162790     EU16282       ANATAH     0P20     NC: Swain Co., Nantahala River     N 35.8350     982     EU162795     EU162831       ROUNDMTN     OP611, 612     TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.     N 35.156     EU162797     EU162831       ROUNDMTN     OP614, 647     NC: Swain Co., Nack			283		W 82.9603			
HWY32     OP060     TN: Cocke Co., Hwy 32 @ Stateline     N 82705/5     605     EU162780     EU162823       GREENBR     OP07     TN: Sevier Co., Greenbrier Cove     N 35.7154/     490     EU162781     EU162824       FLATBR     OP260, 261,     TN: Slower Co., Greenbrier Cove     N 35.7526/     S88     EU162782.84     EU162825       RICHMTN     OP260, 261,     TN: Blount Co., Rich Mtn. Rd.     N 35.6508/     412     EU162785.87     EU162827       COSBY     OP61, 622     TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby     N 35.7537/     615     EU162789.87     EU162827       GG     COSBY     OP621, 622     TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby     N 35.7537/     685     EU162790.92     EU162828       MULER     OP267     NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.     N 55.7630     685     EU162791.93     EU162830       NANTAH     OP267     NC: Cherokee Co., Shuller Creek     N 55.7560     W 83.2921     EU162796     EU162830       ROUNDMTN     OP611, 612     TN: Cocke Co., S Round Mn.     N 55.2691     EU162795     EU162837       SPIVEY     OP046, 047		HWY215	OP284	NC: Transylvania Co., Hwy 215	N 35.2575/	1217	EU162779	EU162822
HW 32     OP006     TN: Cocke Co., Hwy 32 @ Stateline     N 35.7707     605     EU162780     EU162823       GREENBR     OP07     TN: Sevier Co., Greenbrier Cove     N 35.7112     W3 3.1112     W3 3.1112     EU162824       FLATBR     OP254, 256,     NC: Haywood Co., Flat Branch Rd.     N 35.7631/     S8     EU162782-88     EU162826       Z07     COSBY     OP261, 622     TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby     N 35.7631/     615     EU162780     EU162827       JOYCE     OP261, 622     TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby     N 35.7631/     615     EU162790     EU162827       JOYCE     OP261, 622     TN: Cocke Co., Shuler Creek     N 35.37631/     615     EU162790     EU162823       SHULER     OP263, 264,     NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.     N 35.7680     W 83.2921     S1760/     700     EU162834       JUNALUSK     OP267     NC: Cherokee Co., Secund Mtn.     N 35.37680     922     EU162795     EU162834       ROUNDMTN     OP611, 612     TN: Cocke Co., Secund Mtn.     N 35.4339/     982     EU162796,     EU162834					W 82.9204			
GREENBR     OP007     TN: Sevier Co., Greenbrier Cove     W 83.112 W 83.3215     EU162781     EU162782.4     EU16282.4     EU16278.4     EU16282.4     EU16283.4     E		HWY32	OP006	TN: Cocke Co., Hwy 32 @ Stateline	N 35.7705/	605	EU162780	EU162823
GREENBR     OP07     TN: Sevier Co., Greenbrier Cove     N 33, 154     490     EU162781     EU16224       FLATBR     OP254, 256,     NC: Haywood Co., Flat Branch Rd.     N 35, 7526/     S88     EU162782-84     EU162825       RICHMITN     OP260, 261,     TN: Blount Co., Rich Mtn. Rd.     N 35, 568/     4/12     EU162785-87     EU162826       262     TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby     N 35, 733/     615     EU162789     EU162789       JOYCE     OP65     NC: Graham Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest     N 35, 3585/     685     EU162790     EU162829       SHULER     OP263, 264,     NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.     N 35, 37680     FU162791-93     EU162829       JUNALUSK     OP270     NC: Swain Co., Nantahala River     N 35, 37680     FU162795     EU162830       ROUNDMTN     OP611, 612     TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.     N 35, 3585/     685     EU162796     EU162831       SPIVEY     OP046, 047     NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey Gap     N 36, 1424/     598     EU162800-02       243     ROCKCR     OP245, 246     TN: Unicoi Co., Roek Creek Ree. Area     <					W 83.1112			
		GREENBR	OP007	TN: Sevier Co., Greenbrier Cove	N 35.7154/	490	EU162781	EU162824
PLA 1BR   OP224, 256,   NC: Haywood Co., Flat Branch Rd.   N 35,7267   S88   EU162/R2:8-4   <					W 83.3831			
RICHMTN   OP220, 261, 22   TN: Blount Co., Rich Mtn. Rd.   N 35,5508/ 412   EU162785-87   EU162826     COSBY   OP621, 622   TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby   N 35,5508/ 412   EU162785   EU162827     JOYCE   OP621, 622   TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby   N 35,5563/ 615   EU162789   EU162827     JOYCE   OP065   NC: Graham Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest   N 35,355/ 685   EU162791-93   EU162828     SHULER   OP263, 264, NC: Cherokee Co., Shuler Creek   N 35,3505   FU162794   EU162830     NANTAH   OP267   NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.   N 35,1760/ 700   EU162794   EU162830     NANTAH   OP270   NC: Swain Co., Nantahala River   N 35,3305/ 545   EU162795   EU162831     ROUNDMTN   OP611, 612   TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.   N 35,8350/ 982   EU162796   EU162834     SPIVEY   OP046, 047   NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey Gap   N 36,0342/ 931   EU162803   EU162804     BUMPUS   OP241, 242, TN: Washington Co., Bumpus Cove   N 36,01424/ 598   EU162803   EU162803     ROCKCR   OP245, 246   TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.   N 36,0284/ 1010   EU1		FLATBR	OP254, 256,	NC: Haywood Co., Flat Branch Rd.	N 35.7526/	588	EU162782-84	EU162825
RICHMT   OP260, 261, 262   TN: Bount Co., Rich Min. Rd.   N 35,5630/ W 83,2979   21   EU162785-87   EU162285     COSBY   OP621, 622   TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby   N 35,7633/ W 83,2115   615   EU162788, EU162789   EU162282, W 83,2921     JOYCE   OP065   NC: Graham Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest   N 35,3585/ W 83,2921   685   EU162790   EU162282, W 83,2921     JUNALUSK   OP263, 264, UNALUSK   NC: Cherokee Co., Shuler Creek   N 35,1760/ W 83,7680   700   EU162791-93   EU162282     JUNALUSK   OP267   NC: Cherokee Co., Shuler Creek   N 35,1760/ W 83,7680   700   EU162795   EU162834     ROUNDMTN   OP261, 612   TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.   N 35,3850/ W 83,2951   92   EU162796, EU162379   EU162834     SPIVEY   OP046, 047   NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey Gap   N 36,0342/ W 82,3059   93   EU162800- EU162399   EU162804     ROCKCR   OP241, 242, IN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.   N 36,1379/ N 22,753   727   EU162805, EU162806   EU162805     ROUNDKNOB   OP247, 248   TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns, Roum Knob Rd.   N 36,5306/ W 82,3659   N60   EU162805, EU162805   EU162805, EU162805			257		W 83.0895			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		RICHMTN	OP260, 261,	TN: Blount Co., Rich Mtn. Rd.	N 35.6508/	412	EU162785-87	EU162826
COSBY     OP621, 622     TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby     N 55./633/     615     EU16278/ EU162789       JOYCE     OP065     NC: Graham Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest     N 35.3585/     685     EU162790     EU162827       SHULER     OP263, 264, 265     NC: Cherokee Co., Shuler Creek     N 35.2424/     515     EU162791-93     EU162827       JUNALUSK     OP267     NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.     N 35.7660     W 84.2227     EU162794     EU162830       NANTAH     OP270     NC: Swain Co., Nantahala River     N 35.3305/     545     EU162795     EU162831       ROUNDMTN     OP611, 612     TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.     N 35.8350/     982     EU162796, EU162832, EU162835     EU162798, EU162832       SPIVEY     OP046, 047     NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey Gap     N 36.042/     931     EU162798, EU162834       BUMPUS     OP241, 242, 243     TN: Washington Co., Bumpus Cove     N 36.1424/     598     EU162800-02     EU162804       ROCKCR     OP245, 246     TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.     N 36.024/     1079     EU162805,     EU162804       ROUNDKNOB		000DT	262		W 83.7979			
LCG     W 83.2113     E U162/89       JOYCE     OP065     NC: Graham Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest     N 55.358/ N 685     EU162790     EU16228       SHULER     OP263, 264, 265     NC: Cherokee Co., Shuler Creek     N 35.2424/ N 84.2227     515     EU162791     EU162828       JUNALUSK     OP267     NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.     N 35.1760/ W 83.7680     To     EU162795     EU162831       NANTAH     OP270     NC: Swain Co., Nantahala River     N 35.3305/ W 82.951     545     EU162795     EU162831       ROUNDMTN     OP611, 612     TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.     N 35.8350/ W 82.951     982     EU162797     EU162833       SPIVEY     OP046, 047     NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey Gap     N 36.042/ W 82.0404     981     EU162805, EU162805     EU162804       BUMPUS     OP241, 242, 243     TN: Washington Co., Bumpus Cove     N 36.179/ W 82.0424     S0     EU162805, EU162805     EU162805, EU162805     EU162805, EU162807     EU162805, EU162807     EU162805, EU162807     EU162805, EU162807     EU162805, EU162807     EU162805, EU162807     EU162805, EU162807     EU162805, EU162807     EU162805, EU162807     EU162805, EU1628		COSBY	OP621, 622	TN: Cocke Co., GSMNP, road to Cosby	N 35./633/	615	EU162788,	EU162827
JOYCE     OP065     NC: Granam Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest 88,3291     N. 5,3385)     685     EU162/90     EU162/91     EU162/93		IOVOE	00005		W 83.2115	60.5	EU162789	EL1 (2020
SHULER     OP263, 264, 265     NC: Cherokee Co., Shuler Creek     N 35, 2424/ NE     515     EU162791-93     EU162829       JUNALUSK     OP267     NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.     N 35, 1760/ W 83, 7660     700     EU162794     EU162830       NANTAH     OP270     NC: Swain Co., Nantahala River     N 35, 3305/ W 83, 5921     545     EU162795     EU162831       ROUNDMTN     OP611, 612     TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.     N 35, 8350/ W 82, 9519     982     EU162796, EU162797, EU162833     EU162798, EU162833     EU162798, EU162834     EU162798, EU162833     EU162798, EU162834     EU162798, EU162834     EU162798, EU162834     EU162798, EU162834     EU162798, EU162834     EU162798, EU162834     EU162798, EU162834     EU162798, EU162834     EU162834, EU162804     EU162834       BUMPUS     OP241, 242, 243     TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Re: Area NS Ch1379     N 36, 0139/ Kd.     727     EU162804, EU162804     EU162804       ROUNDKNOB     OP249, 248     TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd. Rd.     N 36, 0139/ W 82, 0559     860     EU162804       BALDMTN     OP249, 215     TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn., Rd. W 82, 0559     N 35, 6536/ W 82, 1556     EU162810, EU162810		JOYCE	OP065	NC: Granam Co., Joyce Kilmer Forest	N 35.3585/	685	EU162/90	EU162828
SINULER     OP20, 204, 104, 107, 204, 204, 107, 205     NC: Cheroke Co., Sindier Creak     N. 32,2227     SINULEX     EU102/91-95     EU102/92     EU102/91-95     EU102/91-95     EU102/91-95     EU102/91-95     EU102/91-95     EU102/91-95     EU102/91-95     EU102/91-95     EU102/92     EU102/91-95     EU102/92     EU102/91-95     EU102/92     EU102/91-95     EU102/92     EU102/92     EU102/91     EU102/92     EU102/92     EU102/92     EU102/93     EU102/95     EU102/93     EU102/93     EU102/93     EU102/93     EU102/94     EU102/95     EU102/93     EU102/94     EU102/94     EU102/94     EU102/95     EU102/94			002(2) 2(4	NC: Changless Co., Shular Creak	W 85.9291	515	EU1(2701.02	EU1(2020
JUNALUSKOP267NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.N 35.1760/ W 83.7680TU162794EU162830NANTAHOP270NC: Swain Co., Nantahala RiverN 35.3305/ W 83.5921545EU162795EU162831ROUNDMTNOP611, 612TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.N 35.8350/ W 82.9519982EU162797EU162833SPIVEYOP046, 047NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey GapN 36.0342/ W 82.9419931EU162797EU162833BUMPUSOP241, 242, 243TN: Washington Co., Bumpus CoveN 36.1424/ W 82.0403582EU162800-02ROCKCROP245, 246TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. AreaN 36.1379/ W 82.2482727EU162805, EU162804INGRAMOP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.N 36.1379/ W 82.1456727EU162805, EU162805EU162805, EU162805ROUNDKNOBOP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob Rd.N 36.0284/ W 82.65361079EU162807, EU162807EU162837BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Hwy 197N 35.0036/ W 82.7531160EU162810, EU162812Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.636/ W 82.5360EU162811Stringuipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveWithheldEU162813Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/ S 121.6775EU162815 S 121.7722EU162815 S 121.7722Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rettlesnake Lake		SHULEK	OP203, 204,	NC: Cherokee Co., Shuler Creek	IN 35.2424/	515	EU162/91-93	EU102829
JONALOSK   OF207   NC: Cleioke CO., Junauska Rd.   N 35.17400   700   EU102350     NANTAH   OP270   NC: Swain Co., Nantahala River   N 35.305/   545   EU162795   EU162831     ROUNDMTN   OP611, 612   TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.   N 35.8350/   982   EU162796,   EU162832,     SPIVEY   OP046, 047   NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey Gap   N 36.0342/   931   EU162799,   EU162834     BUMPUS   OP241, 242,   TN: Washington Co., Bumpus Cove   N 36.179/   727   EU162804,     BUMPUS   OP245, 246   TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Ree, Area   N 36.179/   727   EU162803,     ROCKCR   OP247, 248   TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.   N 36.2140/   840   EU162805,   EU162836     ROUNDKNOB   OP249   TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns, Round Knob   N 36.079/   80   EU162806   EU162836     BALDMTN   OP250, 251   TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.   N 36.0284/   1079   EU162806,   EU162836     Bishopella laciniosa   OP004   TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont Area   N 35.5036/   EU162810,   EU162813     sp.   -		UINIALUSV	203 OD267	NC: Charakaa Ca Junahuska Pd	W 84.2227	700	EU162704	EL1(2020
NANTAHOP270NC: Swain Co., Nantahala RiverN 35,3305545EU162795EU162831ROUNDMTNOP611, 612TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.N 35,8307982EU162797EU162832, EU162797EU162831SPIVEYOP046, 047NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey GapN 36,0342/931EU162798,EU162834BUMPUSOP241, 242, 243TN: Washington Co., Bumpus CoveN 36,1424/598EU162804EU162804ROCKCROP245, 246TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. AreaN 36,2140/840EU162805,EU162835ROCKCROP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.N 36,2140/840EU162806,EU162806ROUNDKNOBOP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Bald Mtns., Round KnobN 36,02140/840EU162807,EU162835ROUNDKNOBOP249, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36,0244/1079EU162805,EU162836ROUNDKNOBOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36,0284/1079EU162808,EU162837HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197N 35,8036/1160EU162810,EU162837Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35,6336/EU162811EU162813sp.CaveS2,8179/EU162813E1162816E1162816sp.CaveS2,8199/EU162814E13,4175E1162816Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27,8199/EU162814SclerobumusOP120 <td< td=""><td rowspan="3"></td><td>JUNALUSK</td><td>OP207</td><td>NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.</td><td>N 55.1700/</td><td>/00</td><td>EU102/94</td><td>EU102830</td></td<>		JUNALUSK	OP207	NC: Cherokee Co., Junaluska Rd.	N 55.1700/	/00	EU102/94	EU102830
NANTAH   OF2/0   NC: Swain CO., Nantahala KWei   N 35.3530/J   3-35   E0102/93   E0102/93     ROUNDMTN   OP611, 612   TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.   N 35.8350/J   982   EU162796, EU162832, W 82.951     SPIVEY   OP046, 047   NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey Gap   N 36.0342/   931   EU162798, EU162833     BUMPUS   OP241, 242, 243   TN: Washington Co., Bumpus Cove   N 36.1424/   598   EU162800, EU162834     243   ROCKCR   OP245, 246   TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. Area   N 36.1379/   727   EU162805, EU162804     INGRAM   OP247, 248   TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.   N 36.0140/   840   EU162805, EU162805     ROUNDKNOB   OP249   TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob   N 36.0284/   1079   EU162805, EU162836     BALDMTN   OP250, 251   TN: Greene Co., Hay 197   N 35.6036/   11079   EU162810, EU162837     Bishopella laciniosa   OP004   TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont Area   N 35.6356/   EU162811, EU162813     Chirquipellobunus   TX327   TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back   W 81.3502   EU162813     Chirquipellobunus   TX327   TX: Bexar Co		NANTAH	00270	NC: Swein Co. Nontchala Diver	W 05.7000	545	EU162705	EU142021
ROUNDMTN   OP611, 612   TN: Cocke Co., S. Round Mtn.   N 35,8350/ N 35,8350/ W 82,9519   982   EU162796, EU162797   EU162832, EU162797     SPIVEY   OP046, 047   NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey Gap   N 36,0342/ W 82,9519   931   EU162798, EU162799     BUMPUS   OP241, 242, 243   TN: Washington Co., Bumpus Cove   N 36,1424/ W 82,3079   598   EU162800,02     ROCKCR   OP245, 246   TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. Area   N 36,1137/ W 82,3482   727   EU162803, EU162805,     INGRAM   OP247, 248   TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.   N 36,0140/ W 82,4456   840   EU162805, EU162805,     ROUNDKNOB   OP249   TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob   N 36,0284/ W 82,6859   1079   EU162808, EU162807   EU162837     BALDMTN   OP250, 251   TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.   N 35,6036/ W 82,7253   EU162808, EU162812   EU162812     HWY197   OP252, 253   NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197   N 35,8036/ W 82,3560   1160   EU162810, EU162812   EU162813     Chinquipellohunus   TX327   TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back GenBank   W 83,5802   EU162813 <i>GenBank</i> OP052   RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SF   \$ 27,8199/ S 1		NANTAR	OP2/0	NC. Swain Co., Nantanala River	W 83 5021	545	EU102/95	EU102031
KOONDATINOF 011, 012TN: Cocke Co., S. Rohnd Min.W 35.5519SE2EU102797EU102333SPIVEYOP046, 047NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey GapN 36.0342/931EU162797EU162833BUMPUSOP241, 242, 243TN: Washington Co., Bumpus CoveN 36.1424/598EU162800-02ROCKCROP245, 246TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. AreaN 36.1379/727EU162804INGRAMOP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.N 36.2140/840EU162805,EU162804ROUNDKNOBOP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round KnobN 36.0799/860EU162807EU162837ROUNDKNOBOP249, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36.0284/1079EU162806,EU162807BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 35.8036/1160EU16280,EU162837W8 27533EU162809WS 2.3536EU162810,EU162837EU162837W8 2356EU16281W 82.3536EU162810,EU162813Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.636/EU162813sp.CaveCaveWithheldEU162813gautitus doriae—AustraliaFromAY744908GensbanusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/EU162814SclerobunusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/EU162816 <i>Taxila</i> TX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816<		POUNDMEN	OP611 612	TN: Cocke Co. S. Round Mtn	N 35 8350/	087	EU162706	EU162832
SPIVEYOP046, 047NC: Yancey Co., E Spivey GapN 60.392/ W 82.4043931EU162798, EU162804EU162834BUMPUSOP241, 242, 243TN: Washington Co., Bumpus CoveN 36.1424/ W 82.6079598EU162800-02ROCKCROP245, 246TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. AreaN 36.1379/ W 82.3482727EU162805, EU162805,INGRAMOP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.N 36.2140/ W 82.3482840EU162805, EU162805,EU162805, EU162805,ROUNDKNOBOP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob Rd.N 36.0799/ W 82.456860EU162807, EU162806,EU162837BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36.0284/ W 82.35361079EU162808, EU162806,EU162837Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/ W 82.3565EU162811, EU162811,EU162813Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveW 83.5802EU162813Chinquipellobunus sp.OP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/ EU162814EU162814Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/ S EU162816EU162816Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/ EU162817EU162816		ROONDWIIN	01011, 012	TN. COCKE CO., S. Kouliu Mill.	W 82 9519	982	EU162790,	EU162832,
BUMPUS OP241, 242, 243 BUMPUS OP241, 242, 243 ROCKCR OP245, 246 INGRAM OP247, 248 ROUNDKNOB OP247, 248 ROUNDKNOB OP249 BALDMTN OP250, 251 HWY197 OP252, 253 HWY197 OP252, 253 ROP252, 253 ROCKCR, Company Rober Co., Bald Mtn. Rd. Bishopella laciniosa OP004 Chinquipellobunus Sp. Chinquipellobunus Sp. Chinquipellobunus Sp. Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Chinquipellobunus Sp. Cave Ca		SPIVEV	OP046 047	NC: Vancey Co. E Spivey Gan	N 36 0342/	931	EU162798	EU162833
BUMPUS 243OP241, 242, 243TN: Washington Co., Bumpus Cove 243N 36.1424598 598EU162800-02 EU162803, W 82.5079ROCKCR INGRAMOP245, 246TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. Area ROUNDKNOBN 36.1379/ V 727727 EU162803, EU162804INGRAM OD247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd. Rd.N 36.2140/ W 82.3482840 EU162805, EU162806ROUNDKNOB BALDMTNOP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob Rd.N 36.0799/ W 82.6589860 EU162807BALDMTN HWY197OP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd. Rd.N 36.0284/ W 82.6591079 EU162808, EU162806EU162807 EU162808, EU162808, EU162837 W 82.7253Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont Area CaveN 35.6536/ W 83.5802EU162811 EU162811Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveW 83.5802Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveGenBankMonomontia sp.OP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake Lake N 47.4345/N 47.4345/ EU162815EU162815 E 31.4175Sclerobunus nondimorphicusTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave S121.7722WitheldEU162816 EU162817Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd. S 122.6050N 37.2999/ S120.6050EU162817 EU162817		511711	01 040, 047	ite. Taneey eo., E spivey oup	W 82 4043	221	EU162799	20102034
243With Name and gene coreWith Name coreWith Name coreWith Name core243ROCKCROP245, 246TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. AreaN 36.1379/ W82.3482FUI62803, EUI62804INGRAMOP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.N 36.2140/ W82.1456840EUI62805, EUI62806ROUNDKNOBOP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob Rd.N 36.0799/ W82.1456860EUI62807EUI62837BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36.0284/ W82.68591079EUI62808, EUI62807EUI62837HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197N 35.8036/ W82.33361160EUI62810, EUI62810, W82.3336EUI62811Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/ GenBankEUI62813sp.CaveCaveGenBankMonomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SF nondimorphicusS 27.8199/ S 27.8199/ S 121.7722EUI62815 S 121.7722Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave Zuma acutaWitheldEU162815 S 127.6680		BUMPUS	OP241 242	TN <sup>·</sup> Washington Co. Bumpus Cove	N 36 1424/	598	EU162800-02	
ROCKCROP245, 246TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. AreaN 36.1379/ W 82.3482727EU162803, EU162804INGRAMOP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.N 36.01379/ W 82.3482840EU162805, EU162805,EU162835ROUNDKNOBOP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob Rd.N 36.0799/ W 82.455860EU162807EU162836BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36.0284/ W 82.35361079EU162808, EU162807,EU162837HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197N 35.8036/ W 82.35361160EU162810, EU162810,EU162838Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/ W 83.5802EU162813EU162813caveCaveCaveCaveKauraliaFrom Equitius doriaeAY744908 E1162814Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/ S 27.8199/EU162814 E1162814Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake Lake CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/ N 37.29299/EU162816 EU162817		Denni ob	243		W 82.5079	0,00	20102000 02	
INGRAMOP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.W 82.3482EU162804INGRAMOP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.N 36.2140/ W 82.1456840EU162805, EU162806EU162806ROUNDKNOBOP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round KnobN 36.0799/ W 82.6859860EU162807EU162836BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36.0284/ W 82.72531079EU162808, EU162807EU162837HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197N 35.8036/ W 82.3536EU162810, EU162810,EU162818Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/ W 82.3565EU162812Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveWithheldEU162813 <i>Equitius doriae</i> —AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908 GenBankMonomontia sp.OP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake Lake nondimorphicusN 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815 S 121.7722Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.WithheldEU162816 EU162817		ROCKCR	OP245, 246	TN: Unicoi Co., Rock Creek Rec. Area	N 36.1379/	727	EU162803.	
INGRAMOP247, 248TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.N 36.2140/ W 82.1456840EU162805, EU162806EU162835ROUNDKNOBOP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob Rd.N 36.0799/ W 82.6859860EU162807EU162836BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36.0284/ W 82.72531079EU162808, EU162808EU162837HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197N 35.8036/ W 82.35361160EU162810, EU162810,EU162838Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/ W 83.5802EU162813EU162813ChinquipellobunusTX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveWithheldEU162813EU162813Sp.CaveCaveEU162814EU162814EU162814EU162814Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/ S 122.6950EU162817					W 82.3482		EU162804	
ROUNDKNOB OP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round KnobW 82.1456EU162806BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn., Round KnobN 36.0799/860EU162807EU162836BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36.0284/1079EU162808,EU162837HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197N 35.8036/1160EU162810,EU162838Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/EU162812W 83.5802ChinquipellobunusTX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me BackWitheldEU162813sp.CaveCaveGenBankGenBankMonomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/EU162814SclerobunusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/EU162815sy.TX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/EU162816		INGRAM	OP247, 248	TN: Carter Co., Ingram Branch Rd.	N 36.2140/	840	EU162805,	EU162835
ROUNDKNOB OP249TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob Rd.N 36.0799/ W 82.6859860EU162807EU162836BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N 36.0284/ W 82.72531079EU162808,EU162837HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197N 35.8036/ W 82.35361160EU162810,EU162838Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/ W 82.3536EU162812EU162813Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveW 83.5802EU162813Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/ E U162814EU162814Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815Tx316 Zuna acutaTX316 OP50TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave S 121.7722WithheldEU162816Zuna acutaOP50CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.299/ S 122.6050EU162817			,	, ,	W 82.1456		EU162806	
Rd.W 82.6859BALDMTNOP250, 251TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.N $36.0284/$ $1079$ EU162808, EU162809EU162817HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197N $35.8036/$ $1160$ EU162810, EU162811EU162838Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN $35.6536/$ EU162812Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveW $83.5802$ WithheldEU162813Equitius doriae—AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908 GenBankMonomontia sp.OP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN $47.4345/$ EU162815 S $121.7722$ Sclerobunus nondimorphicusTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave QP750WithheldEU162816 EU162817 S $122.2059/$		ROUNDKNOB	OP249	TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtns., Round Knob	N 36.0799/	860	EU162807	EU162836
BALDMTN   OP250, 251   TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.   N 36.0284/   1079   EU162808,   EU162837     HWY197   OP252, 253   NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197   N 35.8036/   1160   EU162810,   EU162838     Bishopella laciniosa   OP004   TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont Area   N 35.6536/   EU162812   W 82.3536   EU162813     Chinquipellobunus   TX327   TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back Cave   W 83.5802   EU162813     Equitius doriae   —   Australia   From AY744908   EU162814     Monomontia sp.   OP052   RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SF   S 27.8199/   EU162814     Sclerobunus   OP120   WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake Lake   N 47.4345/   EU162815     nondimorphicus   TX316   TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave   Withheld   EU162816     Zuma acuta   OP750   CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.   N 37.2999/   EU162817				Rd.	W 82.6859			
HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197W $82.7253$ EU162809 N $35.8036/$ EU162810,EU162838 EU162811Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN $35.6536/$ EU162812 W $83.5802$ EU162812 W $83.5802$ Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveWithheldEU162813Equitius doriae—AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908 GenBankMonomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS $27.8199/$ EU162814 E $31.4175$ Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN $47.4345/$ EU162815 S $121.7722$ Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816 EU162817Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N $37.2999/$ EU162817 S $122.6950$		BALDMTN	OP250, 251	TN: Greene Co., Bald Mtn. Rd.	N 36.0284/	1079	EU162808,	EU162837
HWY197OP252, 253NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197N 35.8036/ W 82.35361160EU162810, EU162811EU162838Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/ W 83.5802EU162812Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveWithheldEU162813Equitius doriae—AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/ E 31.4175EU162814Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/ S 122 6050EU162817					W 82.7253		EU162809	
Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaW 82.3536EU162811Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/ W 83.5802EU162812Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveWithheldEU162813Equitius doriae—AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/ E 21.4175EU162814Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815TX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/ S 122 6050EU162817		HWY197	OP252, 253	NC: Buncombe Co., Hwy 197	N 35.8036/	1160	EU162810,	EU162838
Bishopella laciniosaOP004TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont AreaN 35.6536/ W 83.5802EU162812Chinquipellobunus sp.TX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveWithheldEU162813Equitius doriae—AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/ E 31.4175EU162814Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake Lake S 121.7722N 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/ S 122 6050EU162817 S 122 6050					W 82.3536		EU162811	
ChinquipellobunusTX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveWithheldEU162813Equitius doriae—AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908 GenBankMonomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SF NordimorphicusS 27.8199/ E U162814 E 31.4175EU162814 E 31.4175Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake Lake N 47.4345/N 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815 S 121.7722Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave OP750WithheldEU162816 EU162817 S 122 6050	Bishopella laciniosa		OP004	TN: Sevier Co., Elkmont Area	N 35.6536/		EU162812	
ChinquipellobunusTX327TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back CaveWithheldEU162813sp.Equitius doriae—AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SF 2 SclerobunusS 27.8199/ E U162814 E 31.4175EU162814 E 31.4175Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake Lake S 121.7722N 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815 S 121.7722Txeella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave OP750WithheldEU162816 EU162817 S 122 6050					W 83.5802			
sp.CaveEquitius doriae—AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908 GenBankMonomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SF 2 I.14175S 27.8199/ E U162814 E 31.4175EU162814 E 0162815 S 121.7722Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake Lake S 121.7722N 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815 S 121.7722Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave OP750WithheldEU162816 EU162817 S 122 6050	Chinquipellobunus		TX327	TX: Bexar Co, Camp Bullis, Hold Me Back	Withheld		EU162813	
Equitius doriae—AustraliaFrom GenBankAY744908 GenBankMonomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/ E 31.4175EU162814 E 31.4175SclerobunusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815 S 121.7722Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816 EU162817 S 122.650Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/ S 122.650EU162817 S 122.650	sp.			Cave				
Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/ E 31.4175EU162814 E 31.4175Sclerobunus nondimorphicusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/ S 121.7722EU162815 EU162816Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave OP750WithheldEU162816Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/ S 122 6050EU162817 S 122 6050	Equitius doriae			Australia	From		AY744908	
Monomontia sp.OP052RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SFS 27.8199/EU162814SclerobunusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/EU162815nondimorphicusS 121.7722Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/EU162817S 122S 122S 122S 122				<b>NAL W. A. M. M.</b>	GenBank			
SclerobunusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/EU162815nondimorphicusS 121.7722Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/EU162817S 122S 122S 122S 122	Monomontia sp.		OP052	RSA: KwaZulu-Natal, Ngome SF	S 27.8199/		EU162814	
ScterobunusOP120WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake LakeN 47.4345/EU162815nondimorphicusS 121.7722Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/EU162817S 122 6050S 122 6050S 122 6050	<u></u>		00100		E 31.4175			
nondimorphicusS 121.7722Texella reyesiTX316TX: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/EU162817S 122 6050S 122 6050S 122 6050S 122 6050	Sclerobunus		OP120	WA: Kings Co., Rattlesnake Lake	N 47.4345/		EU162815	
I x 316I X: Williamson Co., Temples of Thor CaveWithheldEU162816Zuma acutaOP750CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Rd.N 37.2999/EU162817S 122 6050S 122 6050S 122 6050S 122 6050	nondimorphicus		TV01		S 121.7722		EU1(201)	
Zuma acuta OP/50 CA: San Mateo Co., Pescadero Kd. N 37.2999/ EU16281/ S 122 6050	i exella reyesi Zemenene		1 A 310	1A: williamson Co., Temples of Thor Cave	withneid		EU102810	
	zuma acuta		OP/30	UA. San Maleo Uo., Pescadero Kd.	IN 37.2999/ S 122 6050		EU10281/	

Notes: Population acronyms correspond to those in Fig. 1. Specific coordinates of two outgroups are withheld to maintain confidentiality of cave locations.

outgroup taxa, including representatives of two (of five total) other triaenonychid genera from North America (Sclerobunus and Zuma), and triaenonychids from South Africa (Monomontia sp.) and Australia (Equitius doriaesequence from GenBank; Giribet et al., 2005). We also included other North American non-triaenonychid laniatoreans (Bishopella laciniosa (Phalangodidae), Texella reyesi (Phalangodidae), and Chinquipellobunus sp. (Stygnopsidae)) (Table 1); these latter taxa are not expected to be particularly close to Fumontana, but are clearly outside the group of interest. We also sampled and sequenced other laniatoreans from eastern North America (e.g., Theromaster (Cladonychiidae) and Vonones (Cosmetidae)), but preliminary phylogenetic analyses reveal these as relatively distant relatives of Fumontana (results not shown); these taxa were excluded from this study in order to streamline phylogenetic analysis.

### 2.2. Sequence data collection

Genomic DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy Kit, per manufacturer's protocol. For adult specimens, two or three legs provided sufficient amounts of material for DNA extraction. For a few small immature specimens, the entire individual was used. From genomic templates, we amplified a 945-1140 bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene (COI). For amplification of mtDNA, we used primers developed and used in other arachnid taxa (see Hedin, 2001; Hedin and Maddison, 2001), or modifications thereof. Primer combinations used were forward "C1-J-1517" (5'-AATCATARGGATATTGGAAC-3') with reverse "C1-N-2568" (5'-GCTACAACATAATA AGTATCA-3') or "C1-N-2776spider" (5'-GGATAATCA GAATANCGNCGAGG-3'). COI PCR cycle conditions were 94 °C, 3 min; 30× (94 °C, 45 s; 45 °C, 45 s; 72 °C, 90 s); 72 °C, 5 min. We also amplified a 689–712 bp (depending on length variation) fragment spanning both nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer regions (ITS1 and ITS2) and the intervening 5.8S rRNA region. ITS sequences have been successfully used in species-level studies of other arthropods (e.g., Collins and Paskewitz, 1996; Hedin, 1997). For amplification of the ITS regions, the primer combinations used were forward "CAS18sF1" with reverse "CAS28sB1d" (Ji et al., 2003); PCR cycle conditions were 94 °C, 3 min; 40× (94 °C, 30 s; 60 °C, 60 s; 72 °C, 60 s); 72 °C, 5 min. All PCR reactions included 0.8 µL genomic DNA with 0.08  $\mu$ L (0.4 U) Ex Taq polymerase (Takara Bio Inc.), 2.5 µL of manufacturer provided dNTP mixture (25 pmol each dNTP), 2.5  $\mu$ L Ex Taq buffer (Mg<sup>2+</sup>), and tissue culture water (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) for a final volume of 25 µL.

PCR amplification products were purified via polyethylene glycol (PEG) precipitation, or via agarose gel extraction using an *IsoPure* PCR Purification and Gel Extraction Kit (Denville Scientific, Inc.). PCR templates were sequenced directly using Big Dye 3 dye chemistry (ABI) on an ABI 377 machine, or were sequenced at the SDSU Microchemical Core Facility on an ABI Prism 3100 capillary machine. Contigs were assembled and sequences edited using Sequencher 4.5.

#### 2.3. Sequence alignment and molecular phylogenetic analyses

Alignment of *Fumontana* COI sequences was mainly accomplished manually using MacClade 4.07 (Maddison and Maddison, 2001). However, the presence of several codon indels relative to the various outgroup taxa (in the third inter-membrane loop of the COI gene) required algorithmic alignment. Similar COI length variation has been shown between genera of cyphophtalmid opilionids (Boyer et al., 2005). We translated the matrix to amino acids and aligned the matrix using Clustal X (Thompson et al., 1997) with default parameters (gap opening and extension parameters of 10 and 0.10, respectively). This aligned amino acid matrix was then used as a guide to realign the nucleotide matrix.

Aligning the ITS sequences, and utilizing them in phylogenetic analyses required additional effort. We observed relatively small amounts of sequence divergence among Fumontana populations (seven variable, non-indel, nucleotide positions). However, all ITS sequences included a length-variable stretch of repeated adenines found in the ITS1 region, which is sporadically interrupted by relatively rare, individual thymines (see Appendix). To utilize this potential phylogenetic information in a Bayesian framework, we used the thymines as anchors for alignment (positional homology justified based on their relative rarity), and recoded the data as presence/absence characters. Additionally, two gaps (one 1-base and one 12-bases in ITS1 and ITS2, respectively) in the sequences from ROUNDMTN were coded as present or absent in the matrix in the same manner. In total, 40 nucleotide positions were recoded as 13 presence/absence characters (see Appendix).

Bayesian analysis was used to simultaneously infer tree topologies and estimate model parameters using the computer program MrBayes 3.1 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). The Akaike information criterion (AIC) implemented in MrModeltest 2.2 (Nylander, 2004) was used to determine the appropriate model of DNA substitution for both data sets, following Posada and Buckley (2004). We experimented with various data combination and partitioning strategies following Brandley et al. (2005). We also performed several analyses on the ingroup alone, to explore how the removal of distant outgroups affected nodal support values (Buckley et al., 2006). Recoded ITS indel characters were added to the end of the data file, assigned to a separate partition and modeled using an F81-like restriction site (binary) model (following Ronquist et al., 2005). These two ITS-specific partitions (variable nucleotide + recoded indel sites) were included in all analyses that incorporated the ITS data set. In total, we conducted nine analyses (see Table 2), including S.M. Thomas, M. Hedin / Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 46 (2008) 645-658

Data combination and partitioning strategies used in Bayesian analyses								
Analysis No.	Taxa	Genes	Partitions	Generations	Average $-\ln L$			
1	All-taxa	COI	Unpartitioned	2.0E+06	-7405.37			
2			Codon partitions	3.0E+06	-7077.38			
3		COI and ITS	Partition by gene	4.0E+06	-8601.05			
4			Gene and COI codon	4.0E+06	-8300.07			
5	Ingroup only	COI	Unpartitioned	1.0E+06	-2463.66			
6			Codon partitions	2.0E+06	-2367.25			
7		ITS	None	1.0E+06	-1148.90			
8		COI and ITS	Partition by gene	3.0E+06	-3641.85			
9			Gene and COI codon	3.0E+06	-3600.49			

Table 2

"all-taxa" codon-partitioned and -unpartitioned COI analyses (analyses 1 and 2), "all-taxa" codon-partitioned and codon-unpartitioned combined COI and ITS analyses (analyses 3 and 4), "ingroup only" codon-partitioned and -unpartitioned COI analyses (analyses 5 and 6), "ingroup only" ITS analysis (analysis 7), and "ingroup only" codon-partitioned and codon-unpartitioned combined COI and ITS analyses (analyses 8 and 9).

All Bayesian analyses consisted of two simultaneous independent runs, each consisting of four independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains (Metropolis-Coupled MCMC) with default hot and cold chain temperatures. Trees with associated branch lengths were saved every 100 generations. All analyses were permitted to run until the standard deviation of split frequencies was observed to be below 0.01, assuring convergence of the two analyses on a posterior distribution of tree topologies (following Ronquist et al., 2005). Majority rule consensus trees, average branch lengths, and average likelihood scores based on the post burnin tree sets (discarding the first 40%) were computed using the sumt and sump commands in MrBayes 3.1 (Table 2).

#### 2.4. Haplotype networks

We reconstructed COI haplotype networks using the computer program TCS 1.21 (Clement et al., 2000), which implements the statistical parsimony estimation procedure of Templeton et al. (1992). The inferred haplotype networks should allow for better visualization of geographic patterns of divergence within genetic clades, and provide an additional criterion for recognizing divergent genetic lineages (e.g., Cardoso and Vogler, 2005). A few ambiguities in the data set required that we manually modify our haplotype network; incomplete sequences or sequences with ambiguous calls were assumed to be identical to complete/unambiguous sequences sampled from the same site, consistent with observations for more complete intra-site sample sets. We did not perform TCS analyses on the ITS data due to an almost complete lack of intra-clade variation (maximum intra-clade ITS divergence was only a single mutational step).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Sequence characteristics

Mitochondrial COI sequences were generated for 44 Fumontana specimens from 22 locations, including the type locality. There was no evidence for nuclearized mtDNA (pseudogenes), as no stop codons were observed in the data and homology was assigned without issue. Twenty-two unique COI haplotypes were recovered, all of which have been deposited in GenBank (see Table 1). Most sampled sites were fixed, or nearly fixed, for a single haplotype (maximum intra-site divergence was three mutational steps). Average uncorrected pairwise sequence divergence was 4.1% within Fumontana, 31.0% between Fumontana and the triaenonychid outgroup sequences, and 32.2% between Fumontana and all outgroup sequences. The extremely high levels of divergence between Fumontana and outgroup taxa further supports the phylogenetic isolation of Fumontana (see Giribet and Kury, 2007).

Nuclear ribosomal sequences were collected from specimens representing 20 of 22 locations included in the mitochondrial data set. Preliminary sequencing efforts showed that it was difficult to align ITS between Fumontana and outgroup taxa (i.e., ITS is too fast-evolving); as such, ITS data were collected for Fumontana only. Only a single individual was sequenced per site, due to the observed lack of sequence variation between sites. However, two individuals were sequenced from ROUNDMTN to confirm the presence of several unique deletions in this sequence, and to include an additional representative of this unique genetic clade. In total, the ribosomal sequences represent seven unique haplotypes, which have been deposited in GenBank (see Table 1). The 5.8S rRNA region was invariant except for a single substitution in individuals from the ROUND-MTN site.

#### 3.2. Molecular phylogenetic analyses

Support for the monophyly of Fumontana was high (posterior probability = 100%) for all analyses that included outgroups. In addition, there was strong support (posterior probability  $\ge 98\%$ ) for a clade including *Fumontana, Equitius*, and *Monomontia* (the latter from Australia and South Africa, respectively), to the exclusion of the North American *Zuma* and *Sclerobunus*. Therefore, these molecular data tentatively support previous morphological hypotheses suggesting that *Fumontana* is more closely-related to austral triaenonychids than to other North American taxa (Shear, 1977). These results are also consistent with the Triaenonychoidea hypothesis of Giribet and Kury (2007), although a much larger and globally-comprehensive taxon sample will be necessary to definitively reveal triaenonychid polyphyly implied by this hypothesis.

Bayesian analyses indicate that *Fumontana* is fragmented into five, genealogically distinct geographic clades, which we have tentatively designated (1) the



0.1 expected substitutions/site

Fig. 2. Bayesian phylogram resulting from all analyses that included *F. deprehendor* and outgroups (analyses 1–4). Important nodes are numbered with the range of recovered Bayesian posterior probabilities (in parentheses) from various analyses (see Table 3). Well-supported clades are named as in Fig. 1. The illustrations are of a representative male's first leg from the corresponding genetic clade (see Thomas and Hedin, 2006).

"Spivey" clade, comprising seven sampled sites northeast of the French Broad River and the Asheville Basin; (2) the "Greenbrier" clade, comprising five sites in or near the Great Smoky Mountains National Park, north and northeast of the Little Tennessee and Tuckasegee Rivers, and west of the Pigeon River; (3) the "Joyce Kilmer" clade, comprising four sampled sites in the vicinity of the Joyce Kilmer Memorial Forest, southwest of the Little Tennessee River; (4) the "Round Mountain" clade, comprising only one sampled site, between the Pigeon and French Broad Rivers; and (5) the "Cold Mountain" clade, comprising five sites east of the Little Tennessee River, south of the Great Smoky Mountains, and west of the Asheville Basin (Figs. 1-3). These five clades were recovered in all nine Bayesian analyses (see Tables 2 and 3). We note that although the Cold Mountain clade is potentially paraphyletic with respect to the Round Mountain population in ITS-only analyses (Fig. 3), the 95% credible set of trees included 3677 trees (of 11388 total trees from both runs) consistent with reciprocal monophyly of these groupings.

Posterior probability support values for the Fumontana genetic clades are generally high (node numbers 8-12, see Table 3), with all clades receiving the maximum value of 100% in most analyses. However, support values for the Greenbrier and Joyce Kilmer clades vary widely across analyses, and receive only modest support in the "all-taxa" analyses (see Table 3). However, it was the case that all analyses that excluded outgroups exhibit significantly higher nodal support for clades within Fumontana. The observation of reduced support when including extremely divergent outgroup sequences is consistent with previous findings in both simulation (Holland et al., 2003) and empirical studies (Buckley et al., 2006). Nodal support for the branches representing inter-relationships between Fumontana clades was always consistently lower, indicating that the relationships among these clades are less clear. This lack of resolution is further supported by the observation that clade interrelationships differ in COI-only versus ITS-only trees (Fig. 3).

## 3.3. Haplotype networks

Five unconnected (i.e., distinct at the 95% connection limit) mtDNA haplotype networks were resolved using TCS statistical parsimony (Fig. 4), corresponding to the five *Fumontana* clades recovered in Bayesian analyses. A conspicuous pattern seen in these unconnected networks is the relative genetic homogeneity (few, closely-related haplotypes; many haplotypes shared across multiple sites), contrasting with relatively high divergence implied by unconnected networks. However, two of the networks (clades) reveal greater internal divergence, suggesting either sampling gaps or population structure within these geographic clades.

#### 4. Discussion

The discovery of divergent, genealogically concordant genetic clades contrasts with the monotypic taxonomy and general morphological uniformity observed in *Fumontana*. In the discussion below, we address three questions that arise from this phylogenetic discovery: What are the spatial and temporal patterns of divergence in this group of independent evolutionary lineages? Should morphologically indistinguishable genetic clades be considered divergent phylogeographic units, or separate cryptic species? How does this phylogenetic research impact conservation interest and actions directed at these relatively rare, cryophilic arachnids?

#### 4.1. Patterns of spatial and temporal divergence

The southern Blue Ridge province includes the highest mountains in eastern North America (1500-2000 m). These forested uplands are separated by major drainage systems, some lying within lower-elevation, relatively xeric intermontane basins. The distributional limits of Fumontana clades appear to correspond very closely to these large riverine barriers and intermontane basins (see Figs. 1 and 3). For example, the northeastern Spivey clade is isolated from all other clades by the French Broad River, which flows north through the Asheville Basin, and is by far the largest intermontane basin in the region (Hack, 1989). The southwestern Joyce Kilmer clade appears separated from the Greenbrier and Cold Mountain clades by the route of the Little Tennessee River, and the latter two clades are themselves apparently separated by low elevations associated with the Tuckaseegee River (and tributaries). Finally, the Round Mountain population is geographically nestled between the Pigeon and French Broad Rivers, which appear to separate this genetically unique population from the neighboring Greenbrier and Spivey clades, respectively.

The general spatial positioning of genealogical breaks observed in Fumontana, although preliminary (see below), have also been observed in phylogeographic or phylogenetic studies of other regional upland taxa. The Asheville Basin divergence is most conspicuous, and is seen in Trechus beetles (Kane et al., 1990), and spider species of the Nesticus nasicus species group (Hedin, 1997). The Asheville Basin is also both a phylogeographic (Desmosgnathus wrighti (Crespi et al., 2003) and Plethodon montanus (Kozak and Wiens, 2006)) and species barrier (e.g., P. teyahalee versus P. cylindraceus; Kozak and Wiens, 2006) for many salamander taxa. Breaks corresponding to the Little Tennessee, Tuckaseegee, and Pigeon Rivers are also seen in Trechus (Kane et al., 1990), and in the Plethodon jordoni complex (Weisrock and Larson, 2006; although this group may not be monophyletic, see Wiens et al., 2006). Weaker evidence for an influence of the Little Tennessee and Tuckaseegee is seen in phylogeographic data for D. wrighti (Crespi et al., 2003). Interestingly, phylogeographic





Fig. 3. (A) Bayesian phylogram of *F. deprehendor* genetic clade relationships recovered in all analyses that included COI alone (and in combination with ITS). Although these ingroup relationships were consistently recovered, support was never significant ( $P \le 0.95$ ). (B) Bayesian phylogram recovered in the single ITS-only analysis (analysis 7, Table 2). Nodal values correspond to Bayesian posterior probabilities. Since ITS data was not collected for outgroups, the phylogeny was rooted at the branch leading to the Joyce Kilmer clade, following topologies recovered in all other analyses.

data for wingless *Cryptocercus* wood roaches, which are ecologically similar to *Fumontana* (decaying wood special-

ists), do not indicate spatial congruence (see Nalepa et al., 2002). In roaches, different chromosomal races meet

#### S.M. Thomas, M. Hedin / Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 46 (2008) 645-658

Table 3	
Bayesian posterior probabilities	

Analysis No.:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Taxa:	All-taxa				Ingroup only				
Node No.	COI		COI and ITS		COI		ITS	COI and ITS	
1	1.0	.98	1.0	.97		_	_	_	_
2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	_			_	
3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		_		_	
4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	_			_	
5	.74	.71	.74	.67		_		_	
6	.98	1.0	.98	1.0	_			_	
7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			_		
8	.78	.51	.76	.57	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
9	.75	.93	.77	.87	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
10	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
11	.99	.99	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	**	1.0	1.0
12	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

Notes: Values for the 12 nodes labeled in Figs. 2 and 3 recovered in nine individual analyses (Table 2). Node 11 for analysis 7 is not given a support value as this group was paraphyletic in the ITS-only analysis.

in parapatry at high elevations, and distributional breaks do not coincide with any of the aforementioned riverine barriers (e.g., the same chromosome form spans the French Broad River).



Fig. 4. COI TCS networks, corresponding to five geographic clades. Connections between genetic clades are beyond the 95% connection criterion (i.e., the networks are "unconnected").

654

Given our current sample, it seems most-parsimonious to infer clade distributional limits in *Fumontana* that correspond to riverine barriers (as indicated in Fig. 1), but these need to be tested and strengthened by further sampling in the region. We view the Asheville Basin and Little Tennessee barrier hypotheses as the best-supported; conversely, much denser sampling is needed to understand where the Cold Mountain and Greenbrier clades meet (likely near the junction of the Great Smoky Mountains and the Plott Balsam Mountains), and how the Round Mountain population relates (both genetically and geographically) to the Cold Mountain clade.

We lack fossil data for *Fumontana*, and are generally unsure about absolute rates of molecular evolution in Opiliones—as such, inferences regarding divergence timing in *Fumontana* must be considered preliminary. If we assume a strict molecular clock, and further assume that absolute rates of COI evolution in *Fumontana* correspond to a "standard" arthropod rate of ~2.3% pairwise sequence divergence per million years (Brower, 1994; Pons et al., 2006), then it is possible to convert observed genetic divergence values into estimates of divergences range from 6.56% to 3.66% (Table 4), which corresponds to clade separations occurring in a mid-Pliocene to the early-Pleistocene time interval, approximately 2.9– 1.6 Ma.

Several lines of evidence provide independent corroboration for hypothesized mid-Pliocene to the early-Pleistocene divergence times. First, there is ample evidence for global climatic fluctuations since the mid-Pliocene (Webb and Bartlein, 1992; Jansson and Dynesius, 2002; Molnar, 2004), and it is well-known that recent climatic variation has dramatically influenced plant communities in the Blue Ridge (e.g., Delcourt and Delcourt, 1998). Given the modern-day cryophilic ecology of Fumontana, we hypothesize that populations would have experienced relatively more continuous habitats during cool, moist periods, and that these habitats would have been more fragmented during warmer, drier periods. Also, paleodrainage maps suggest that major north-flowing Blue Ridge rivers (e.g., Little Tennessee, French Broad) were in place in the Pliocene (e.g., fig. 6.1 of Hocutt et al., 1986), so even if woodlands were continuous across low-lying basins, the rivers themselves could still have acted as barriers. Finally, molecular clockbased time estimates for many of the upland taxa referenced above are consistent with mid-Pliocene to the early-Pleistocene divergences. For example, Crespi et al. (2003) estimate the Asheville Basin break within *D. wrighti* at approximately 4.3 Ma, and discuss other phylogeographic divergences that are generally pre-Pleistocene. Kozak and Wiens (2006) cite a 2–5 Ma timeframe for divergence of Blue Ridge salamander sister-lineages (both within and between species), and Wiens et al. (2006), using relaxed clock methods for four genes, estimated divergence times for Blue Ridge species of the *Plethodon "glutinosus* group" (their Clade B) consistent with mid-Pliocene divergence.

A surprising result of this study is the almost complete lack of genetic structuring observed within major genetic clades. Most clades are characterized by a common, geographically widespread haplotype, with a few minimally divergent mutational derivatives (Fig. 4). Although much larger sample sizes are needed to discriminate among alternative population genetic scenarios, these data are at least consistent with historical reductions in population size, and more recent population and geographic expansion (Slatkin and Hudson, 1991). This type of population genetic dynamic would be consistent with the known Pleistocene climatic fluctuations in Appalachia (Delcourt and Delcourt, 1998), with warm interglacials resulting in elevational retreat and population bottleneck, and glacial maximum periods allowing for population increase and range expansion.

# 4.2. Cryptic speciation?

The process of species delimitation, which has seen a long history of both empirical and conceptual argumentation, is a difficult, contentious, and vitally important problem (Agapow et al., 2004; Sites and Marshall, 2004). Although we favor a single species hypothesis at this time, there are many arguments that could be made for a multiple species hypothesis, briefly outlined here. Independently segregating mitochondrial and nuclear genes recover the same genetic clades, consistent with the genealogical congruence criterion (Avise and Ball, 1990; Baum and Shaw, 1995). Genealogical congruence is expected only when lineages have been separated for long periods of time (relative to population size), and have maintained this evolutionary separation (i.e., no gene flow after divergence). As such, the observation of congruent genealogical structuring in separate genes is perhaps the strongest evidence for species

Table 4

Average K2P-corrected (Kimura, 1980) COI pairwise divergences within and between Fumontana genetic clades

U		1 0	0		
	Spivey (%)	ColdMtn. (%)	RoundMtn. (%)	Greenbrier (%)	JoyceKilmer (%)
Spivey	0.14				
ColdMtn.	6.02	0.07			
RoundMtn.	5.15	3.66	0.00		
Greenbrier	5.10	6.43	5.33	0.69	
JoyceKilmer	4.78	6.56	5.24	4.53	0.49

status, although such congruence has also been shown for isolated populations *within* species (see examples in Avise, 2000).

Focusing only on the more rapidly-evolving COI data, these data reveal the presence of geographically contiguous (cohesive), reciprocally-monophyletic clades, consistent with the tree-based criterion of Wiens and Penkrot (2002). Also, COI divergence values among clades are generally an order of magnitude greater than divergences within clades (see Table 4), a pattern used in species discovery in so-called "DNA barcoding" efforts (e.g., see Barrett and Hebert, 2005; Pons et al., 2006). Fragmentation of the COI data into five separate TCS networks is a fourth criterion (Cardoso and Vogler, 2005), but is very similar to the "low within, high between" argument. Finally, we note that although Thomas and Hedin (2006) were unable to show obvious morphological divergence among populations of Fumontana, it is also true that studies demonstrating cryptic divergence in arachnids are becoming fairly common (e.g., Wilcox et al., 1997; Bond et al., 2001; Hendrixson and Bond, 2005; Starrett and Hedin, 2007). It seems that morphology is sometimes conservative with respect to species limits in cryophilic arachnids.

Given these multiple arguments for multiple Fumontana species, it is valid to ask why we continue to favor a monotypic taxonomy? Put simply, we argue that more data are needed before formal taxonomic changes are recommended. The collection of many more populations is needed to understand the geographic limits of clades, if and how clades interact in parapatry, and population genetic structure within clades. Collection of data from more genes would allow further tests for genealogical congruence, but also, perhaps provide more resolution of interclade relationships. Revealing clade inter-relationships consistent with secondary contact would greatly increase evidence for species status. Collection of more individuals would allow for a better understanding of morphological variation, and more detailed studies of population genetic structuring. Finally, more careful studies of population ecology are needed. Although our studies have greatly increased our understanding of Fumontana diversity, we are still somewhat limited by the available sample, which includes relatively few individuals from few sites.

#### 4.3. Conservation implications

Prior to 2006 (Thomas and Hedin, 2006), *F. deprehendor* was known from only four individuals from two oldgrowth forest sites (Shear, 1977, 1978). Despite this apparent rarity, we are unaware of any regional conservation efforts that considered this taxon. However, this perceived rarity was shown to be a collecting artifact, and over twenty new populations were discovered after gaining a better understanding of *Fumontana* microhabitat preferences (Thomas and Hedin, 2006), and many more populations are likely to be discovered with continued sampling efforts. The observed morphological homogeneity and larger distribution of Fumontana would thus seem to indicate that conservation attention is not warranted. However, our genetic data suggest the presence of five independent evolutionary units within the species, which may possibly represent cryptic species, and are minimally "evolutionarily significant units" (e.g., Moritz, 1994). These independent evolutionary units inhabit relatively small geographic distributions, as exemplified by the Round Mountain population. Thus, Fumontana has gone from an initially hyperrare taxon of very limited distribution (Shear, 1977, 1978), to a more widespread taxon (Thomas and Hedin, 2006), with phylogeographic data now revealing subdivision into five independent groups, each of which we feel should be recognized in regional conservation decisions.

Validating previous morphological hypotheses, our molecular data demonstrate that F. deprehendor is a phylogenetic relict within the Triaenonychidae, and is clearly one of the most distinctive laniatoreans in North America. Given this phylogenetic distinctiveness, Fumontana represents a key component of regional "phylogenetic diversity", often a criterion in conservation planning (Rodrigues and Gaston, 2002). Fumontana populations also appear very sensitive to habitat alteration (Thomas and Hedin, 2006), and both anthropogenic (e.g., deforestation, fragmentation, etc.) and non-anthropogenic impacts (e.g., the invasive Hemlock Woolly adelgid currently causing extreme damage to natural hemlock populations) continue to threaten Fumontana populations in the southern Appalachians. Lastly, we emphasize that despite our increased population sample, *Fumontana* is still a relatively uncommon opilionid, often difficult to find, and rarely collected in large numbers. We argue that this combination of phylogenetic distinctiveness, habitat specialization, rarity, and phylogeographic diversification warrants conservation attention and action, and hope that this contribution provokes further studies of this elusive opilion taxon.

# Acknowledgments

Gonzalo Giribet and an anonymous reviewer provided comments that helped to strengthen the paper. For invaluable assistance in collecting Fumontana specimens, we thank Jim Starrett, Robin Keith, Jonathan Mays, Pierre Paquin, Michael Lowder, James Cokendolpher, Dalton Hedin, and Lars Hedin. We acknowledge James Cokendolpher for sparking interest in the study of this unique opilionid. Cor Vink provided help in the laboratory with primers and PCR techniques, Nadine Dupérré prepared all the exquisite illustrations, and Pierre Paquin provided advice on use of graphics programs. Fred Coyle, Steve Perlaky, and the Abbott family provided excellent accommodations while in the field. Funding was provided by a U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service grant (agreement 401814G013) to M. Hedin, which was facilitated by John Fridell of the USFWS. Permits to collect Fumontana in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park were provided to J. Cokendolpher and S. Thomas.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ympev. 2007.10.013.

#### References

- Agapow, P.M., Bininda-Edmonds, O.R.P., Crandall, K.A., Gittleman, J.L., Mace, G.M., Marshall, J.C., Purvis, A., 2004. The impact of species concept on biodiversity studies. Quart. Rev. Biol. 79, 161–179.
- Avise, J.C., 2000. Phylogeography: The History and Formation of Species. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Avise, J.C., Ball, M., 1990. Principles of genealogical concordance in species concepts and biological taxonomy. Oxford Sur. Evol. Biol. 7, 45–67.
- Barrett, R.D.H., Hebert, P.D.N., 2005. Identifying spiders through DNA barcodes. Can. J. Zool. 83, 481–491.
- Baum, D.A., Shaw, K.L., 1995. Genealogical perspectives on the species problem. In: Hoch, P.C., Stephenson, A.G. (Eds.), Experimental and Molecular Approaches to Plant Biosystematics. Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, Missouri, pp. 289–303.
- Bond, J.E., Hedin, M.C., Ramirez, M.G., Opell, B.D., 2001. Deep molecular divergence in the absence of morphological and ecological change in the Californian coastal dune endemic trapdoor spider *Aptostichus simus*. Mol. Ecol. 10, 899–910.
- Boyer, S.L., Karaman, I., Giribet, G., 2005. The genus Cyphophthalmus (Arachnida, Opiliones, Cyphophthalmi) in Europe: a phylogenetic approach to Balkan Peninsula biogeography. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 36, 554–567.
- Brandley, M.C., Schmitz, A., Reeder, T.W., 2005. Partitioned Bayesian analyses, partition choice, and the phylogenetic relationships of scincid lizards. Syst. Biol. 54, 373–390.
- Briggs, T.S., 1971. The harvestmen of family Triaenonychidae in North America (Opiliones). Occas. Pap. Calif. Acad. Sci. 90, 1–43.
- Brower, A.V.Z., 1994. Rapid morphological radiation and convergence among races of the butterfly *Heliconius erato* inferred from patterns of mitochondrial DNA evolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 6491– 6495.
- Buckley, T.R., Cordeiro, M., Marshall, D.C., Simon, C., 2006. Differentiating between hypotheses of lineage sorting and introgression in New Zealand alpine cicadas (*Maoricicada* Dugdale). Syst. Biol. 55, 411–425.
- Cardoso, A., Vogler, A.P., 2005. DNA taxonomy, phylogeny and Pleistocene diversification of the *Cicindela hybrida* species group (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Mol. Ecol. 14, 3531–3546.
- Catley, K.M., 1994. Descriptions of new *Hypochilus* species from New Mexico and California with a cladistic analysis of the Hypochilidae (Araneae). Am. Mus. Nov. 3088, 1–27.
- Clement, M., Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., 2000. TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. Mol. Ecol. 9, 1657–1660.
- Collins, F.H., Paskewitz, S.M., 1996. A review of the use of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) to differentiate among cryptic *Anopheles* species. Insect Mol. Biol. 5, 1–9.
- Crespi, E.J., Rissler, L.J., Browne, R.A., 2003. Testing Pleistocene refugia theory: phylogeographical analysis of *Desmognathus wrighti*, a highelevation salamander in the southern Appalachians. Mol. Ecol. 12, 969–984.
- Delcourt, P.A., Delcourt, H.R., 1998. Paleoecological insights on conservation of biodiversity: a focus on species, ecosystems, and landscapes. Ecol. App. 8, 921–934.
- Fenneman, N.M., 1938. Physiography of the eastern United States. McGraw Hill, New York.

- Giribet, G., Edgecombe, G.D., Wheeler, W.C., Babbitt, C., 2002. Phylogeny and systematic position of Opiliones: a combined analysis of chelicerate relationships using morphological and molecular data. Cladistics 18, 5–70.
- Giribet, G., Kury, A.B., 2007. Phylogeny and Biogeography. In: Pinto-da-Rocha, R., Machado, G., Giribet, G. (Eds.), Harvestmen: The Biology of Opiliones. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, pp. 62–87.
- Giribet, G., Rambla, M., Carranza, S., Baguna, J., Riutort, M., Ribera, C., 1999. Phylogeny of the arachnid order Opiliones (Arthropoda) inferred from a combined approach of complete 18S and partial 28S ribosomal DNA sequences and morphology. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 11, 296–307.
- Giribet, G., Richter, S., Edgecombe, G.D., Wheeler, W.C., 2005. The position of crustaceans within Arthropoda—evidence from nine molecular loci and morphology. Crust. Issues 16, 307–352.
- Hack, J.C., 1989. Geomorphology of the Appalachian highlands. In: Hatcher, R.D., Thomas, W.A., Viele, G.W. (Eds.), The Appalachian-Ouachita orogen in the United States. The Geological Society of America, Boulder, CO, pp. 459–470.
- Harvey, M.S., 2002. The neglected cousins: what do we know about the smaller arachnid orders? J. Arachnol. 30, 357–372.
- Hedin, M.C., 1997. Speciational history in a diverse clade of habitatspecialized spiders (Araneae: Nesticidae: *Nesticus*): inferences from geographic-based sampling. Evolution 51, 1929–1945.
- Hedin, M.C., 2001. Molecular insights into species phylogeny, biogeography, and morphological stasis in the ancient spider genus *Hypochilus* (Araneae: Hypochilidae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 18, 238–251.
- Hedin, M.C., Maddison, W.P., 2001. A combined molecular approach to phylogeny of the jumping spider subfamily Dendryphantinae (Araneae: Salticidae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 18, 386–403.
- Hedin, M., Wood, D.L., 2002. Genealogical exclusivity in geographically proximate populations of *Hypochilus thorelli* Marx (Araneae, Hypochilidae) on the Cumberland Plateau of North America. Mol. Ecol. 11, 1975–1988.
- Hendrixson, B.E., Bond, J.E., 2005. Testing species boundaries in the *Antrodiaetus unicolor* complex (Araneae: Mygalomorphae: Antrodiaetidae): "Paraphyly" and cryptic diversity. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 36, 405–416.
- Highton, R., 1995. Speciation in eastern North American salamanders of the genus *Plethodon*. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 26, 579–600.
- Hocutt, C.H., Jenkins, R.E., Stauffer Jr., J.R., 1986. Zoogeography of the fishes of the central Appalachians and central Atlantic Coastal Plain. In: Hocutt, C.H., Wiley, E.O. (Eds.), The Zoogeography of North American Freshwater Fishes. Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 161– 211.
- Holland, B.R., Penny, D., Hendy, M.D., 2003. Outgroup misplacement and phylogenetic inaccuracy under a molecular clock-a simulation study. Syst. Biol. 52, 229–238.
- Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17, 754–755.
- Jansson, R., Dynesius, M., 2002. The fate of clades in a world of recurrent climatic change: Milankovitch oscillations and evolution. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 33, 741–777.
- Ji, Y., Zhang, D., He, L., 2003. Evolutionary conservation and versatility of a new set of primers for amplifying the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer regions in insects and other invertebrates. Mol. Ecol. 3, 581–585.
- Kane, T.C., Barr, T.C., Stratton, G.E., 1990. Genetic patterns and population structure in Appalachian *Trechus* of the *vandykei* group. Brimleyana 16, 133–150.
- Kimura, M., 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitution through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16, 111–120.
- Kozak, K.H., Wiens, J.J., 2006. Does niche conservatism promote speciation? A case study in North American salamanders. Evolution 60, 2604–2621.

# Author's personal copy

- Kuo, C., Avise, J.C., 2005. Phylogeographic breaks in low-dispersal species: the emergence of concordance across gene trees. Genetica 124, 179–186.
- Kury, A.B., 2003. Annotated catalogue of the Laniatores of the New World (Arachnida, Opiliones). Rev. Ibérica Arachnología VE 1, 1– 337.
- Kury, A.B., 2004. A new genus of Triaenonychidae from South Africa (Opiliones, Laniatores). Rev. Ibérica Aracnología 9, 205–210.
- Kury, A.B., 2006. A new species of *Graemontia* Lawrence, 1931, from the Western Cape, South Africa, with note on the relationships of the genus (Opiliones: Laniatores: Triaenonychidae). Afr. Zool. 41, 45–50.

Maddison, W.P., 1997. Gene trees in species trees. Syst. Biol. 46, 523-536.

- Maddison, D.R., Maddison, W.P., 2001. MacClade: Analysis of Phylogeny and Character Evolution, version 4.0. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, USA.
- Marek, P.E., Bond, J.E., 2006. Phylogenetic systematics of the colorful, cyanide-producing millipedes of Appalachia (Polydesmida, Xystodesmidae, Apheloriini) using a total evidence Bayesian approach. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 41, 704–729.
- Molnar, P., 2004. Late Cenozoic increase in accumulation rates of terrestrial sediment: How might climate change have affected erosion rates? Ann. Rev. Earth Plan. Sci. 32, 67–89.
- Moritz, C., 1994. Defining "evolutionarily significant units" for conservation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 9, 373–375.
- Nalepa, C.A., Luykx, P., Klass, K.-D., Deitz, L.L., 2002. Distribution of karyotypes of the *Cryptocercus punctulatus* species complex (Dictyoptera: Cryptocercidae) in the Southern Appalachians: relation to habitat and history. Ann. Ent. Soc. Am. 95, 276–287.
- Nylander, J.A.A. 2004. MrModeltest v2. Program Distributed by the Author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- Pons, J., Barraclough, T.G., Gomez-Zurita, J., Cardoso, A., Duran, D.P., Hazell, S., Kamoun, S., Sumlin, W.D., Vogler, A.P., 2006. Sequencebased species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects. Syst. Biol. 55, 595–609.
- Posada, D., Buckley, T.R., 2004. Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: advantages of Akaike information criterion and Bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. Syst. Biol. 53, 793–808.
- Pinto-da-Rocha, R., Giribet, G., 2007. Taxonomy. In: Pinto-da-Rocha, R., Machado, G., Giribet, G. (Eds.), Harvestmen: The Biology of Opiliones. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, pp. 88–246.
- Rodrigues, A.S.L., Gaston, K.J., 2002. Maximising phylogenetic diversity in the selection of networks of conservation areas. Biol. Conserv. 105, 103–111.
- Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19, 1572–1574.
- Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., van der Mark, P. 2005. MrBayes 3.1 manual.
- Shear, W.A., 1977. Fumontana deprehendor, n. gen., n. sp., the first triaenonychid opilionid from eastern North America (Opiliones: Laniatores: Triaenonychidae). J. Arachnol. 3, 177–183.
- Shear, W.A., 1978. A new record for the rare opilionid *Fumontana* deprehendor (Opilionies, Triaenonychidae). J. Arachnol. 6, 79.
- Shelley, R.M., Whitehead, D.R., 1986. A reconsideration of the milliped genus *Sigmoria*, with a revision of *Deltotaria* and an analysis of the genera in the tribe Apheloriini (Polydesmida: Xystodesmidae). Mem. Am. Entomol. Soc. 35, 1–223.
- Shultz, J.W., Regier, J.C., 2001. Phylogenetic analysis of Phalangida (Arachnida, Opiliones) using two nuclear protein-encoding genes supports monophyly of Palpatores. J. Arachnol. 29, 189–200.

- Sites, J.W., Marshall, J.C., 2004. Operational criteria for delimiting species. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 35, 199–227.
- Slatkin, M., Hudson, R.R., 1991. Pairwise comparisons of mitochondrial DNA sequences in stable and exponentially growing populations. Genetics 129, 555–562.
- Soltis, D.E., Morris, A.B., McLachlan, J.S., Manos, P.S., Soltis, P.A., 2006. Comparative phylogeography of unglaciated eastern North America. Mol. Ecol. 15, 4261–4293.
- Starrett, J., Hedin, M., 2007. Multilocus genealogies reveal multiple cryptic species and biogeographical complexity in the California turret spider *Antrodiaetus riversi* (Mygalomorphae, Antrodiaetidae). Mol. Ecol. 15, 583–604.
- Stein, B.A., Kutner, L.S., Hammerson, G.A., Master, L.L., Morse, L.E., 2000. State of the states: geographic patterns of diversity, rarity, and endemism. In: Stein, B.A., Kutner, L.S., Adams, A.S. (Eds.), Precious Heritage: The Status of Biodiversity in the United States. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 159–200.
- Stephenson, S.L., Ash, A.N., Stauffer, D.F., 1993. Appalachian Oak Forests. In: Martin, W.H., Boyce, S.G., Echternacht, A.C. (Eds.), Biodiversity of the Southeastern United States: Upland Terrestrial Communities. John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 255–303.
- Templeton, A.R., Crandall, K.A., Sing, C.F., 1992. A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping and DNA sequence data III. Cladogram estimation. Genetics 132, 619–633.
- Thomas, S.M., Hedin, M., 2006. Natural history and distribution of the enigmatic southern Appalachian opilionid, *Fumontana deprehendor* Shear (Laniatores: Trieanonychidae), with an assessment of morphological variation. Zootaxa 1242, 21–36.
- Thomas, S.M. 2007. Phylogenetics of the eastern North American Phalangodidae (Arachnida: Opiliones: Laniatores): higher-level phylogeny and phylogeography in the southern Appalachians. M.S. Thesis, San Diego State University.
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., Higgins, D.G., 1997. The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nuc. Acids Res. 24, 4876–4882.
- Ubick, D., Briggs, T.S., 1989. The harvestman family Phalangodidae. 1. The new genus *Calicina*, with notes on *Sitalcina* (Opiliones: Laniatores). Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci. 46, 95–136.
- Vink, C.J., Thomas, S.M., Paquin, P., Hayashi, C.Y., Hedin, M., 2005. The effects of preservatives and temperatures on arachnid DNA. Invert. Syst. 19, 99–104.
- Webb III, T., Bartlein, P.J., 1992. Global changes during the last 3 million years: climatic controls and biotic responses. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 23, 141–173.
- Weisrock, D.W., Larson, A., 2006. Testing hypotheses of speciation in the *Plethodon jordani* species complex with allozymes and mitochondrial DNA sequence. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 89, 20–51.
- Wiens, J.J., Penkrot, T.A., 2002. Delimiting species using DNA and morphological variation and discordant species limits in spiny lizards (*Sceloporus*). Syst. Biol. 51, 69–91.
- Wiens, J.J., Engstrom, T.N., Chippindale, P.T., 2006. Rapid diversification, incomplete isolation, and the "speciation clock" in North American salamanders (genus *Plethodon*): Testing the hybrid swarm hypothesis of rapid radiation. Evolution 60, 2585–2603.
- Wilcox, T.P., Hugg, L., Zeh, J.A., Zeh, D.W., 1997. Mitochondrial DNA sequencing reveals extreme genetic differentiation in a cryptic species complex of neotropical pseudoscorpions. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 7, 208–216.